

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/490,965	01/24/2000	Michael J Heller	249/292	7864
34263	7590 07/14/2		EXAMINER	
O'MELVENY & MEYERS			MARSCHEL, ARDIN H	
IRVINE, CA	CA, SUITE 100 A 92618		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Ź			1631	
			DATE MAILED: 07/14/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/490,965	HELLER ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Ardin Marschel	1631			
The MAILING DATE of this communication	appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address			
Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, and If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stany reply received by the Office later than three months after the meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DN. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re. a reply within the statutory minimum of thirt, riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON latute, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1	9 April 2004.				
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□	This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allo closed in accordance with the practice und					
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 83-91,95-101,104,105 and 107 is 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 83-91,95-101,104,105 and 107 is 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction are	drawn from consideration. /are rejected.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 19 April 2004 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
10) I he drawing(s) filed on 19 April 2004 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
·	inn minitured at 25 H C.C. S	110(a) (d) ar (f)			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docum application from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received. nents have been received in Appriority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview S	ummary (PTO-413)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4/19/04.	Paper No(s)/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/490,965

Art Unit: 1631

DETAILED ACTION

Applicants' arguments, filed 4/19/04, have been fully considered but they are not deemed to be persuasive. Rejections and/or objections not reiterated from previous office actions are hereby withdrawn. The following rejections and/or objections are either reiterated or newly applied. They constitute the complete set presently being applied to the instant application.

VAGUENESS AND INDEFINITENESS

Claims 83-91, 95-101, 104, 105, and 107 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The independent claims have been amended to require the presence of a permeation layer coupled to an electrode in a provided location. Such a layer may covers such an electrode, as is commonly practiced, however, not so defined in the instant claims, so that the contacting of a target, primer, or nucleic acid with said location in the next line of each independent claim lacks clear cooperativity as to where this contacting occurs. Clarification of the contacting practice of such a composite location of permeation layer and electrode via clearer claim wording is requested. Claims which are dependent from the independent claims either directly or indirectly also contain this unclarity due to their dependence. This rejection is necessitated by amendment.

Application/Control Number: 09/490,965

Art Unit: 1631

PRIOR ART

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 83, 84, and 96 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kreisher (P/N 4,589,965), taken in view of Ramachandran et al. (P/N 5,109,124).

This rejection is reiterated and maintained from the previous office action, mailed 12/16/03, and as necessitated by amendment regarding the pointing to the gel layer as a permeation layer of the now worded instant claims. Contrary to the arguments of applicants the basis for this rejection included a gel with electrode assembly in the combination of references which thus in inclusive of the permeation layer added limitation as instantly claimed.

Application/Control Number: 09/490,965

Art Unit: 1631

INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

One of the citations on the enclosed IDS is lined through because no copy was found to consider as required.

No claim is allowed.

Applicants' amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicants ARE reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Papers related to this application may be submitted to Technical Center 1600 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to Technical Center 1600 via the Central PTO Fax Center. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notices published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1988), 1156 OG 61 (November 16, 1993), and 1157 OG 94 (December 28, 1993)(See 37 CFR § 1.6(d)). The Central PTO Fax Center number is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ardin Marschel, Ph.D., whose telephone number is (571) 272-0718. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8 A.M. to 4 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Woodward, Ph.D., can be reached on (571) 272-0722.

Application/Control Number: 09/490,965 Page 5

Art Unit: 1631

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to Legal Instrument Examiner, Tina Plunkett, whose telephone number is (571) 272-0549.

July 9, 2004

TO ALL TO A PROPERTY OF THE PR